## A Completeness Theorem for "Total Boolean Functions"

July 2008

Pierre Hyvernat,\* pierre.hyvernat@univ-savoie.fr

**Abstract.** In [3], Christine Tasson introduces an algebraic notion of totality for a denotational model of linear logic. The notion of total boolean function is, in a way, quite intuitive. This note provides a positive answer to the question of completeness of the "boolean centroidal calculus" w.r.t. total boolean functions.

**0.** Introduction. Even though the question answered in this note has its roots in denotational semantics for the differential  $\lambda$ -calculus ([2] and [1], see also [4]), no background in proof-theory is necessary to understand the problem. In the end, it boils down to a question about a special kind of polynomials in 2n variables over an arbitrary field **k**. This note is almost "self-contained", assuming only mild knowledge about polynomials and vector spaces (and a modicum about affine spaces).

The only exotic (??) technology is the following formula for counting monomials or multisets. The number of different monomials of degree d over n variables is usually denoted  $\binom{n}{d}$ . A simple counting argument shows that the number of monomials of degree at most d in n variables is  $\binom{n+1}{d}$ . A closed formula for  $\binom{n}{d}$  in terms of the usual binomial coefficient is given by:

$$\binom{n}{d} = \binom{n+d-1}{n}.$$

Thus, the number of monomials of degree at most d in n variables is given by  $\binom{n+d}{n}$ .

1. Total boolean polynomials. The category of finite dimensional vector spaces give a denotational model for multiplicative additive linear logic. Adding the exponential is a non-trivial task and requires infinite dimensional spaces and thus, topology. Moreover, we need to find a subclass of spaces satisfying  $E \simeq E^{**}$ . Finiteness spaces (see [1]) give a solution. We won't need the details of this technology, but it is interesting to note that objects are topological vector spaces, and that morphisms (in the co-Kleisli category of the !-comonad) are "analytic functions", *i.e.* power series.

Of particular interest is the space **B** used to interpret the booleans: this is the vector space  $\mathbf{k}^2$ , where  $\mathbf{k}$  is the ambient field. A morphism from  $\mathbf{B}^n$  to **B** is a pair  $(P_1, P_2)$  of "finite" power series (polynomials) in 2n variables, where each pair  $(X_{2i-1}, X_{2i})$  of variables corresponds to the *i*-th argument of the function.

A boolean value (a, b) is total if a + b = 1; and a pair of polynomials is total if it sends total values to total values. This means that a pair  $(P_1, P_2)$  of polynomials in 2n variables is total iff

 $a_1 + a_2 = 1, \ldots, a_{2n-1} + a_{2n} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_1(a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}) + P_2(a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}) = 1$ 

We first restrict our attention to the case of an infinite field  $\mathbf{k}$ : the above condition is then equivalent to the stronger condition (a pair of polynomials satisfying this condition is called strongly total)

(\*) 
$$P_1(X_1, 1 - X_1, \dots, X_{2n-1}, 1 - X_{2n-1}) + P_2(X_1, 1 - X_1, \dots, X_{2n-1}, 1 - X_{2n-1}) = 1$$
.

The proof of this is easy but interesting: refer to any algebra textbook ("Algebra" by Lang, corollary 1.7 in chapter IV for example) if you are in a hurry...

<sup>\*</sup> Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Savoie, 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac Cedex, France

The constructions presented below also work for finite fields, but give a weaker result: see the remark at the end of section 5.

## Lemma.

- Strongly total polynomials form an affine subspace of  $\mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}] \times \mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}];$
- total polynomials form an affine subspace of  $\mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}] \times \mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}]$ .

2. The centroidal calculus for boolean functions. The centroidal calculus produces pairs of polynomials  $(P_1, P_2)$  using

- constants: T := (1, 0) and F := (0, 1);
- pairs of variables:  $(X_1, X_2)$ ;
- if  $(P_1, P_2)$  then  $(Q_1, Q_2)$  else  $(R_1, R_2) := (P_1Q_1 + P_2R_1, P_1Q_2 + P_2R_2);$
- affine combinations:  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(P_{i,1}, P_{i,2})$  where  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1$ .

A pair of polynomials is *centroidal* if it is generated by the above operations.

**Lemma.** Centroidal polynomials form an affine subspace of  $\mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}] \times \mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}]$ .

A note on terminology: "affine calculus" would be a much better name than "centroidal calculus"; but in the context of linear logic, this would lead to endless confusion.

The following proposition answers the natural question that was raised by Christine Tasson and Thomas Ehrhard:

**Proposition.** Suppose the field  $\mathbf{k}$  is infinite; then the spaces of centroidal polynomials and of total polynomials coincide.

That centroidal polynomials are total is a direct consequence of their definition: all centroidal polynomials are in fact *strongly total*, in the sense of (\*). The rest of this note is devoted to the converse.

**3.** Tips and tricks for centroidal polynomials. Here is a collection of recipes for constructing centroidal polynomials:

- $(\alpha, 1 \alpha) := \alpha \operatorname{T} + (1 \alpha) \operatorname{F};$
- $\neg(P_1, P_2) = (P_2, P_1) := if (P_1, P_2)$  then F else T;
- $\circ \ (P_1,P_2)*(Q_1,Q_2)=(P_1Q_1\,,\,P_1Q_2+P_2):=\texttt{if}\ (P_1,P_2)\ \texttt{then}\ (Q_1,Q_2)\ \texttt{else}\ \texttt{F};^*$
- $(P_1, P_2)^+ = (P_1 + P_2, 0) := if (P_1, P_2)$  then T else T;
- $\pi_1(P_1, P_2) = (P_1, 1 P_1) := \mathbf{F} + (P_1, P_2)^+ \neg (P_1, P_2).$

Using those, we can get more complex centroidal polynomials:

- (a) suppose  $P_1$  is any polynomial; we can always get a centroidal term  $(P_1, P_2)$  for some polynomial  $P_2$ :
  - using "\_\*\_", we can get any monomial  $(M, \ldots)$ ,
  - if M is such a monomial,  $\alpha$  its coefficient in  $P_1$  and m the total number of monomials in  $P_1$ ,  $(m\alpha M, \ldots) = if(m\alpha, 1 - m\alpha)$  then  $(M, \ldots)$  else F,
  - we can then sum those monomials using coefficients 1/m to get  $(P_1, \ldots)$ .
- (b) If  $(P_1, 0)$  is centroidal and if  $Q_1$  is any polynomial, then  $((P_1 1)Q_1, 1)$  is centroidal:
  - thanks to the previous point, we can obtain  $(Q_1, Q_2)$  for some  $Q_2$ ,
  - $((P_1 1)Q_1, 1) = ((Q_1, Q_2) * (P_1, 0)) + \mathbf{F} (Q_1, Q_2).$

<sup>\*</sup> This operation is neither commutative nor associative!

(c) If  $(P_1, P_2)$  is centroidal and if  $Q_1$  is any polynomial, then  $(P_1 + Q_1, P_2 - Q_1)$  is also centroidal:  $(P_1 + Q_1, P_2 - Q_1) = (P_1, P_2) + (Q_1 + Q_2, 0) - (Q_2, Q_1)$ 

The last point implies in particular that it is equivalent to show that  $(P_1, P_2)$  is centroidal and to show that  $(P_1 + P_2, 0)$  is centroidal.

4. An interesting vector space. Write  $\mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]_d$  for the vector space of polynomials of degree at most d. The operator  $\varphi : \mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_{2n}]_d \to \mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]_d$  with

$$\varphi$$
 :  $P(X_1, \dots, X_{2n}) \mapsto P(X_1, 1 - X_1, \dots, X_n, 1 - X_n)$ 

is linear and surjective. Since the dimension of  $\mathbf{k}[X_1,\ldots,X_n]_d$  is  $\binom{n+d}{n}$ , we get

dim 
$$(\ker(\varphi)) = \binom{2n+d}{2n} - \binom{n+d}{n}$$
.

It is easy to see that the following polynomials are all in the kernel of  $\varphi$ :

$$\left( (X_1 + X_2)^{i_1} \times \ldots \times (X_{2n-1} + X_{2n})^{i_n} - 1 \right) \times X_1^{j_1} \times \ldots \times X_{2n-1}^{j_n}$$

where  $(\sum_k i_k) + (\sum_k j_k) \le d$  and at least one of the  $i_k$  is non zero.

Lemma. The above polynomials are linearly independent.

*Proof:* suppose  $\sum \alpha_k P_k = 0$  where each  $P_k$  is one of the above vectors. We show that the coefficient of any  $((X_1 + X_2)^{i_1} \dots (X_{2n-1} + X_{2n})^{i_n} - 1)X_1^{j_1} \dots X_{2n-1}^{j_n}$  is zero by induction on  $\sum_k j_k$ .

- If  $\sum_k j_k = 0$ : since the linear combination is zero, this implies that the global coefficient of each monomial is zero. Since  $(X_1 + X_2)^{i_1} \dots (X_{2n-1} + X_{2n})^{i_n} 1$  is the only polynomial contributing to the monomial  $X_2^{i_1} \dots X_{2n}^{i_n}$ , its coefficient must be zero.
- The polynomial  $((X_1 + X_2)^{i_1} \dots (X_{2n-1} + X_{2n})^{i_n} 1)X_1^{j_1} \dots X_{2n-1}^{j_n}$  is the only polynomial contributing to  $X_2^{i_1} \dots X_{2n}^{i_n} X_1^{j_1} \dots X_{2n-1}^{j_n}$  because, by induction hypothesis, all the polynomials with fewer  $X_{2k-1}$ 's have zero for coefficient. This implies that the above coefficient is also zero...

**Corollary.** The above polynomials form a basis for  $\ker(\varphi)$ .

*Proof:* the number of those polynomials is exactly  $\binom{2n+d}{2n} - \binom{n+d}{n}$ :

- the first term accounts for the polynomials with  $(\sum_k i_k) + (\sum_k j_k) \le d$ ,
- $\circ\,$  the second term removes the polynomials where all the  $i_k$  's are zero.

We have a family of  $\binom{2n+d}{2n} - \binom{n+d}{n}$  linearly independent polynomials in a space of the same dimension: they necessarily form a basis.

5. Back to total polynomials. Abusing our terminology, we say that a single polynomial P is total [resp. centroidal] if the pair (P, 0) is total [resp. centroidal].

We saw in section 3 that it is sufficient to show that all the total P are centroidal. Since the space of total polynomials is just the affine space  $1 + \ker(\varphi)$ , the following polynomials form a basis for the space of total polynomials:

$$1 + \left( (X_1 + X_2)^{i_1} \times \ldots \times (X_{2n-1} + X_{2n})^{i_n} - 1 \right) \times X_1^{j_1} \times \ldots \times X_{2n-1}^{j_n}$$

We thus only need to show that each element in this basis is indeed centroidal.

Each  $(X_1 + X_2, 0)$  is centroidal, so that each  $(X_1 + X_2)^{i_1} \dots (X_{2n-1} + X_{2n})^{i_n}$  is also centroidal (using the "-\*-" operation); we can find a centroidal  $(X_1^{j_1} \dots X_{2n-1}^{j_n}, Q)$  and apply point (b) of section 3 to obtain

$$\left(\left((X_1+X_2)^{i_1}\dots(X_{2n-1}+X_{2n})^{i_n}-1\right)X_1^{j_1}\dots X_{2n-1}^{j_n},\ 1\right)$$

The "\_+" operation allows to conclude the proof of the proposition.

Everything we've done so far also apply to finite fields, but the result we obtain is

**Proposition.** Suppose the field  $\mathbf{k}$  is finite; then the space of centroidal polynomials is exactly the space of "strongly total" polynomials (see (\*) in section 1). This space is a strict subspace of the space of total polynomials.

*Proof:* we only need to show that centroidal polynomials are a strict subspace of total polynomials. Take the polynomial  $1 + X(X+1)(X+2) \dots (X+l)$  where l+1 is the cardinality of the field. This polynomial is total but not strongly total: it thus can't be encoded in the centroidal calculus.

6. Some examples: the "parallel" or and Gustave's function. In order to write smaller formulas, we occasionally use a single letter P to denote a pair  $(P_1, P_2)$  of polynomials.

Using the usual encoding with the "if" primitive, the usual "or" function is easily programmed in the centroidal calculus:

$$P \lor Q$$
 := if  $P$  then T else  $Q = \left(P_1 + P_2 Q_1 \ , \ P_2 Q_2 
ight)$  .

However, this function is not commutative: in general,  $(P_1, P_2) \vee (Q_1, Q_2)$  is not the same as  $(Q_1, Q_2) \vee (P_1, P_2)$ , except for total values. To get a commutative version, one needs to use sums:

$$P \lor Q \quad := \quad rac{1}{2} \mbox{ if } P \mbox{ then T else } Q \ + \ rac{1}{2} \mbox{ if } Q \mbox{ then T else } P$$

This "or" is indeed commutative, and F is neutral; but we do not have  $(P_1, P_2) \lor T = T$ .

The simplest really well-behaved "or" function seems to be the following:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} P \lor Q & := & \text{if } P \text{ then T else } Q \\ & + \text{ if } Q \text{ then T else } P \\ & - \text{ if } P \text{ then } \left( \text{ if } Q \text{ then T else T} \right) \text{ else } Q \\ & = & \left( P_1 + Q_1 - P_1 Q_1 \;,\; P_2 Q_2 \right) \end{array}$$

This "or" function is commutative, has F as a neutral element and T as an absorbent element. It is the closest one can get to the real "parallel-or" which should be (see [3]):

$$P \parallel Q = (P_1 + Q_1, P_2 Q_2)$$

but which is unfortunately not total.

Exercise: with the above "or", we have  $(1/2, 1/2) \vee (1/2, 1/2) = (3/4, 1/4)$ . Design two other "or" functions which are truly commutative, have (1, 0) for absorbent element and (0, 1) for neutral element such that:

- $(1/2, 1/2) \vee_1 (1/2, 1/2) = (1, 0)$
- $(1/2, 1/2) \vee_2 (1/2, 1/2) = (0, 1)$

-4 -

Gerard Berry's "Gustave function" is a ternary boolean function. It is the first and simplest example of stable but non-sequential function; and it can be shown to have polynomial

$$G(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, Z_1, Z_2) = (X_1Y_2 + Y_1Z_2 + Z_1X_2, X_1Y_1Z_1 + X_2Y_2Z_2)$$

in Lefschetz totality spaces. It is trivial matter to check that this function is total. Here is one way to obtain it in the centroidal calculus:

Expressing the corresponding polynomial in the basis given in section 5 seems to yield an even bigger centroidal expression:

$$X_{1}Y_{2} + Y_{1}Z_{2} + Z_{1}X_{2} + X_{1}Y_{1}Z_{1} + X_{2}Y_{2}Z_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} (X_{1} + X_{2})(Y_{1} + Y_{2})(Z_{1} + Z_{2}) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} ((X_{1} + X_{2})(Y_{1} + Y_{2}) - 1)Z_{1} + 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} ((X_{1} + X_{2})(Z_{1} + Z_{2}) - 1)Y_{1} + 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} ((Y_{1} + Y_{2})(Z_{1} + Z_{2}) - 1)X_{1} + 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} ((X_{1} + X_{2}) - 1)Z_{1} + 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} ((X_{1} + X_{2}) - 1)X_{1} + 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} ((Z_{1} + Z_{2}) - 1)Y_{1} + 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where each basic polynomial can be expressed in the centroidal calculus using the recipes from section 3.

## References

- Thomas Ehrhard, "Finiteness Spaces". Mathematical Structures in Computer Science, 15(04):615646, 2005.
- [2] Thomas Ehrhard and Laurent Regnier, "The Differential  $\lambda$ -calculus". Theoretical Computer Science, 309:141, 2003.
- [3] Christine Tasson, "Totality in an Algebraic Setting". Unpublished, 2008.
- [4] Lionel Vaux, "On Linear Combinations of λ-Terms". Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Term Rewriting and Applications, 4533:374, 2007. See also [5]
- [5] Lionel Vaux, "Algebraic  $\lambda$ -calculus". Submitted, 2008.